

HOSC Forward Plan – February 2019

The scrutiny work programming guide was shared in July 2017 and is designed to help assess the relative merits of topics brought forward in order to prioritise areas of focus for scrutiny through a transparent and objective process. The “PICK” methodology can help scrutiny committees consider which topics to select or reject. This is:

| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| Public interest | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Is the topic of concern to the public? ➤ Is this a “high profile” topic for specific local communities? ➤ Is there or has there been a high level of user dissatisfaction with the service or bad press? ➤ Has the topic has been identified by members/officers as a key issue? |
| Impact | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Will scrutiny lead to improvements for the people of Oxfordshire? ➤ Will scrutiny lead to increased value for money? ➤ Could this make a big difference to the way services are delivered or resource used? |
| Council performance | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Does the topic support the achievement of corporate priorities? ➤ Are the Council and/or other organisations not performing well in this area? ➤ Do we understand why our performance is poor compared to others? ➤ Are we performing well, but spending too much resource on this? |
| Keep in context | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Has new government guidance or legislation been released that will require a significant change to services? ➤ Has the issue been raised by the external auditor/ regulator? ➤ Are any inspections planned in the near future? |

| Meeting Date | Item Title | Details and Purpose | Organisation |
|--------------|---------------------------|--|--------------|
| April 2019 | Transition of LD services | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HOSC to receive a report on the benefits of the changes to LD services for patients | CCG |
| April 2019 | Health inequalities | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review of progress in the Health and Wellbeing Board’s progress with the Health Inequalities Commission recommendations. • (request made on 16/11/17 that progress be | HWBB |

Updated: 11 Dec 2018

| Meeting Date | Item Title | Details and Purpose | Organisation |
|---------------------|---------------------|---|---|
| | | reported to HOSC every six months to ensure health inequalities remains a priority). | |
| April 2019 | Dentistry | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provision and capacity of NHS dentists in Oxfordshire • Dental health of adults and children in the Oxfordshire population, including where inequalities exist • Programmes of work to promote dental health | NHSE/OCC (Public Health, Adults and Children's)/CCG |
| April 2019 | Quality Reports | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Quality Reports from: Oxford University Hospitals, Oxford Health and SCAS on the progress against their high level priorities. • Formal response from HOSC required on the final draft accounts | OH/OUH/SCAS/Federations |
| June 2019 | HWBB Annual Report | <p>An annual report to HOSC on the activity of the HWBB, covering:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Activity of the Board over the financial year 2018/19 in pursuit of the Health and Wellbeing Strategy • How it performed against its aims and objectives during that period, including an overview of performance for all the sub-partnerships of the Board (e.g. HIB/Children's Trust & Integrated Systems Delivery Board). • Report to include assessment of how revised governance arrangements are working • Plans for 2019/20. | |
| June 2019 | Winter Plan 2018/19 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evaluation of the Winter Plan 2018/19 | |
| Future Items | | | |

| Meeting Date | Item Title | Details and Purpose | Organisation |
|--------------|---|---|--|
| | Adult Social Care Green Paper | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The potential implications of the ASC Green paper on the local health and social care system | System-wide |
| | GP appointments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Scrutiny of GP appointments. What are the numbers of GP appointments available in Oxfordshire and where? What are the trends with GP appointments, nationally and locally? How long, how many, at what times and in what locations in the county. What are the costs of GP appointments? Update on the success of weekend and evening GP appointments – share data on demand and how this is monitored? | CCG/ GP federations |
| | Health in planning and infrastructure | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> How is NHSE engaging in the planning process, incl. the Health approach to CIL and s.106 funding Learning from Healthy New Towns. Impact on air quality and how partners are addressing this issue. How can HOSC best support the planning function | CCG, NHSE, Districts/City Planners, PH, OCC Infrastructure |
| | GP Federations | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The local GP Federation landscape. How effective are Federations at delivering high-quality, accessible and sustainable services for residents across Oxfordshire? What are the challenges and opportunities for Federations in Oxfordshire? Federation funding and governance for public transparency and accountability. | Federations/CCG |
| | Healthcare in Prisons and Immigration Removal Centres | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> More in depth information on performance and how success is measured. New KPIs in place from April 2017 | NHS England |
| | Pharmacy | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Levels of access and changes to pharmacy provision, incl. mapping provision and impact on | |

Updated: 11 Dec 2018

| Meeting Date | Item Title | Details and Purpose | Organisation |
|--------------|--|---|--------------|
| | | health inequalities | |
| | Social prescribing | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The roll out and outcomes of social prescribing pilots and learning that can be shared. (Berinsfield vs. Cherwell) How District Councils and other partners link with and support social prescribing | |
| | Health support for children and young people with SEND | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> How is Health contributing to improving outcomes for children and young people with Special Educational Needs and Disabilities and working with partners in Education and Care? Linked to outcomes of SEND Local Area Inspection | OH, OUH |
| | Priorities in Health – Lavender Statements | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> How the CCG manages competing priorities – Thames Valley Priorities Forum | CCG |
| | Commissioning intentions | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Committee scrutinises the CCG Commissioning Intentions | CCG |